

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/972,100	Applicant(s) RANKIN, ANDREW	
	Examiner A. M. Thompson	Art Unit 2825	

All Participants:
Status of Application: ____

 (1) Examiner A. M. Thompson.

(3) ____

 (2) Mr. Samuel Freund, 30,459.

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 17 March 2004
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
No rejections discussed. Only an outstanding drawing objection was discussed.
Claims discussed:
None
Prior art documents discussed:
None
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SRE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In response to Applicant's after-final amendment, Examiner suggested a specification edit via Examiner's amendment that would overcome the Figure 1 drawing informality precluding amendment. Applicant's representative agreed to the amendment and the application was therefore allowed..